

File With

SECTION 131 FORM

Appeal No

ABP— 314485-22

Defer Re O/H

☐

Having considered the contents of the submission dated/received 12/12/2023
 from Richard Brophy I recommend that section 131 of the Planning
 and Development Act, 2000 be/not be invoked at this stage for the following reason(s):

no new material issues

Section 131 not to be invoked at this stage.

☒

Section 131 to be invoked — allow 2/4 weeks for reply.

☐

Signed

 Pat B

EO

Date

 19/12/2023

Signed

SEO/SAO

Date

 M

Please prepare BP — Section 131 notice enclosing a copy of the attached submission.

To

Task No

Allow 2/3/4 weeks

 BP

Signed

EO

Date

Signed

AA

Date



Planning Appeal Online Observation

Online Reference
NPA-OBS-002890

LDG-068696-23

Online Observation Details

Contact Name
Richard Brophy

Lodgement Date
12/12/2023 14:36:46

Case Number / Description
314485

Payment Details

Payment Method
Online Payment

Cardholder Name
Richard Brophy

Payment Amount
€50.00

Processing Section

S.131 Consideration Required

☒ Yes — See attached 131 Form

☐ N/A — Invalid

Signed

EO

Date

19/12/2023

Fee Refund Requisition

Please Arrange a Refund of Fee of

€

Lodgement No

LDG—

Reason for Refund

Documents Returned to Observer

☐ Yes ☐ No

Request Emailed to Senior Executive Officer for Approval

☐ Yes ☐ No

Signed

EO

Date

Finance Section

Payment Reference

ch_3OMX4kB1CW0EN5FC070ePp7d

Checked Against Fee Income Online

EO/AA (Accounts Section)

Amount

€

Refund Date

Authorised By (1)

SEO (Finance)

Authorised By (2)

Chief Officer/Director of Corporate Affairs/SAO/Board Member

Date

Date

Rathmullen House,
Station Road,
Portmarnock,
Co Dublin, D13 YK54

12 December 2023

An Bord Pleanála,
64 Marlborough Street,
Dublin 1, D01 V902

Dear Sir/Madam,

Re: Dublin Airport Authority's plan to change flight

I want to make an observation on daa plc's plans for Dublin Airport concerning changing the flight arrangements. I do this as a resident and someone directly affected by their actions.

I object to the change proposed by Dublin Airport to the new times of 6 am to midnight. I note from previous activities that Dublin Airport cannot be trusted to maintain this time band. My family is often awakened by the landing of flights at 4 am most mornings.

I object to removing the night-time movement cap (65 flights per night) proposed by Dublin Airport, as they have consistently breached this numerous times despite their public announcements. While some have claimed more modern aircraft will be quieter, I have experienced flights causing over +80 decibels throughout the day and night.

In my view, allowing Dublin Airport to retain the existing flightpaths that are not the approved flightpath from 2007 planning permission would reward bad practice in terms of planning practice and abuse of a dominant neighbour in a mixed agricultural, residential and industrial part of north Dublin. To permit Dublin Airport to use these flight paths would severely affect my family's mental health, bodily health and well-being.

Dublin Airport, since the pandemic, has been reckless in its activities. The location of my house does not attract the noise-proofing scheme they offer. Like other residents in Portmarnock, I have contacted them to advise of the continued noise pollution, but I have not heard back. We, as residents, have an enumerated constitutional right to the private enjoyment of our home dwelling. However, Dublin Airport continually breaches this despite its publicity, lobbying, and other representations.

You will find the above as my observation concerning Dublin Airport. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Yours Sincerely,

Dr Richard Brophy PC
Peace Commissioner – District of Dublin & Surrounding Counties

richard@richardbrophy.ie